



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,280	02/03/2004	Richard N. Clark	2578.3008.002	9654
23399	7590	11/09/2005	EXAMINER	
REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNES, KISSELLE, P.C.			ARK, DARREN W	
P O BOX 4390			ART UNIT	
TROY, MI 48099-4390			PAPER NUMBER	
			3643	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/771,280	CLARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Darren W. Ark	3643	

All Participants:

- (1) Darren W. Ark.
 (2) William J. Waugaman.

Status of Application: After Notice of Allowance

- (3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2005

Time: 2:15pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DARREN W. ARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant indicated to the Examiner that the communication filed 6/20/2005 was not a Rule 312 Amendment, but instead a Supplemental Declaration for placing evidence in the record that the inventors considered the claims as amended and allowed to still define their invention as originally claimed and disclosed. Examiner agreed with Applicant that denial of entry of the 312 Amendment was improper and that the response to the 312 Amendment mailed 11/1/2005 is withdrawn and that the Supplemental Declaration filed 6/20/2005 would be entered as part of the record.